Charm and beauty structure functions and heavy-quark masses at HERA.







Misha Lisovyi (DESY)

on behalf of the H1 & ZEUS collaborations

ICHEP2014, Valencia

4/07/2014





DIS at HERA.



$$E_p = 920 \, GeV E_e = 27.5 \, GeV$$

 $\sqrt{s} = 318 \, GeV L^{2} \times 0.5 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$

M. Lisovyi | ICHEP 2014 | 4/07/2014 | Slide 2



Introduction to HQ production @ HERA.



Direct probe of the gluon in the proton: predominantly boson-gluon fusion. $\sigma^{HQ} = PDF \otimes ME \otimes FF$



Heavy-quark production schemes.

Massive scheme (FFNS):

- c,b quarks are massive;
- valid for $Q^2 \sim M^2_{c,b}$;

е

X

е

Y

q

Ρ

→ HQ produced perturbatively

Massless scheme (ZM-VFNS):

е

γ

g

Ρ

DOD

- c,b mass neglected;
- valid for $Q^2 \gg M^2_{c,b}$;
- → HQ present in proton

Variable FNS (GM-VFNS):

- equivalent to massive at small Q²;
- equivalent to massless at high Q²;[±]
- HQ present in proton starting from a certain scale



е

 \overline{c}

EPJ C73 (2013) 2311



EPJ C73 (2013) 2311



- Extrapolation to the full phase space + related theory uncertainty (see M. Sauter's talk for D^{*} combination in fiducial region)
- Charm reduced cross sections: $\frac{d \sigma^{c \bar{c}}}{dx dO^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{xO^4} \cdot [1 + (1 - y)^2] \cdot \sigma^{c \bar{c}}_{red}$
- > Well described by NLO and NNLO massive ABM09 predictions.
- > Uncertainty at low Q^2 is dominated by charm mass variation. DESY

M. Lisovyi | ICHEP 2014 | 4/07/2014 | Slide 6

Extraction of MS charm mass.

EPJ C73 (2013) 2311



PDG 2012 : $m_c(m_c) = 1.275 \pm 0.025 \text{ GeV}$ (lattice QCD & time-like processes)

M. Lisovyi | ICHEP 2014 | 4/07/2014 | Slide 7



MS charm mass running.



- Extract m_c(m_c) in separate kinematic regions
 - Translate back to m (µ)
 - $(\mu^2 = Q^2 + 4m_c^2)$ using OpenQCDrad.
 - Important QCD consistency check.



New charm measurements: D^{*}.





The most precise charm DIS measurement from ZEUS.

> Well described by massive NLO QCD predictions.



New charm measurements: D⁺, sec.vtx.



Independent from D^{*} data: D⁺ and secondary vertices+lifetime tag.

Additional statistics and cross-calibration of systematics.



JHEP 05 (2013) 023,

405 6915

arXiv:1

Comparison of charm: D^{*}, D⁺, sec.vtx., combination.



$$\frac{d \sigma^{c\bar{c}}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{xQ^4} \cdot [1 + (1 - y)^2] \cdot \sigma^{c\bar{c}}_{red}$$

- The new data are <u>precise</u> and <u>independent</u> from the previous combination.
- All data are consistent



New beauty measurement: sec.vtx.

arXiv:1405.6915



- Measurement of HF jets using secondary vertices + lifetime tag (simultaneous and b measurement).
- Sood description of the data by the massive NLO QCD predictions.



New beauty measurement: sec.vtx.

arXiv:1405.6915



$$\frac{d\sigma^{b\bar{b}}}{dxdQ^{2}} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^{2}}{xQ^{4}} \cdot \left[(1 + (1 - y)^{2}) \cdot F_{2}^{b\bar{b}} - y^{2} \cdot F_{L}^{b\bar{b}} \right]$$

- In a wide range of Q², the new measurement is <u>the most</u> <u>precise</u> determination of F^b₂ at HERA.
- All beauty data are in good agreement and well described by fixed-order (massive) and variable-flavour (mixed) NLO and NNLO QCD calculations.



Extraction of MS beauty mass.

arXiv:1405.6915



Summary.

- > Most HERA DIS charm data were combined:
 - the data are well described by fixed-flavour and variable-flavour NLO and NNLO QCD predictions;
 - charm mass measured: $m_{(m_{i})} = 1.26 \pm 0.06 \text{ GeV};$
 - first measurement of the charm-mass running.
- New charm measurements will provide further constraints:
 - D^{*}, D⁺, secondary vertices+lifetime.
- New beauty-jet measurement + lifetime tag in DIS by ZEUS:
 - one of the most precise beauty measurements at HERA;
 - beauty mass measured: $m_{h}(m_{h}) = 4.07 \pm 0.17 \text{ GeV}$.









NLO QCD predictions.

- > Fixed-order $O(\alpha_s^2)$ calculations using HVQDIS.
- Set-up follows closely the one used in the combination of inclusive charm cross sections (EPJ C73 (2013) 2311) (see back-up). Only µ_R and µ_F are varied independently.
- Small beauty contribution is estimated with RAPGAP and normalised following original analyses.



EPJ C73 (2013) 2311



EPJ C73 (2013) 2311



EPJ C73 (2013) 2311





Optimal M_c value for each scheme.



MS charm mass running.





> Left: $m_c(m_c)$. Right: $m_c(\mu)$.

