### Combination of Measurements of Inclusive Deep Inelastic ep Scattering Cross Sections and QCD Analysis of HERA Data



ASSOCIATION

PHOTON 2015 Novosibirsk

Uwe Schneekloth, DESY

on behalf of the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations



# **Outline**

#### Deep Inelastic Scattering at HERA

- Introduction and Motivation
- Inclusive data sets/measurements >
- Combination of inclusive cross sections
- QCD analysis >
- HERA parton distribution > functions
- **Electroweak effects** >
- Conclusions >



HERA a unique facility DIS best tool to probe proton structures

Electroweak

l(l)

QCD

00000

0000

### **Deep Inelastic Scattering at HERA**



Neutral current

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{NC}^{e^{\pm}p}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^4} \Big[ Y_+ F_2 \quad \mp Y_- xF_3 - y^2 F_L \Big] \qquad Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1 - y)^2$$

$$F_2 \propto \sum_i e_i^2 (xq_i + x\bar{q}_i) \qquad xF_3 \propto \sum_i e_i^2 (xq_i - x\bar{q}_i) \qquad F_L \propto \alpha_s \times g$$
guark distributions valence guarks gluon

 $e^{\pm}$ 

Charged current

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{CC}^{e^- p}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \left[ u + c + (1 - y^2)(\bar{d} + \bar{s}) \right]$$
$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{CC}^{e^+ p}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{G_F^2}{2\pi} \frac{M_W^2}{M_W^2 + Q^2} \left[ \bar{u} + \bar{c} + (1 - y^2)(d + \bar{s}) \right]$$

Kinematic variables  $Q^2 = -q^2 = -(k - k')^2$ Virtuality of exchanged boson  $x = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q}$ Bjorken scaling parameter

 $s = (k + p)^{2} = \frac{Q^{2}}{xy}$ center of mass energy  $y = \frac{p \cdot q}{p \cdot k}$ inelasticity



# **Final Inclusive HERA Data Combination**

- > H1 and ZEUS published all HERA inclusive DIS measurements (22 papers 1997-2014)
- Have now combined these measurements
  - In principle, detectors similar. Different technical solutions and different reconstructions techniques result in different systematic errors and contribute to reduction of systematic uncertainties.
- In total 41 final data sets including special runs:
  - Different proton beam energies (820, 920, 575 and 460 GeV)
  - Shifted vertex and satellite bunches
  - Special detectors at small angles
  - Effective electron beam energy reduced to due initial state radiation
  - Integrated luminosity ~500 pb<sup>-1</sup> per experiment
  - Equally split between e<sup>+</sup> and e<sup>-</sup> beams





# **Averaging Cross Sections Procedure**



- Averaging performed using HERAverger > tool based on  $X^2$  minimization method, including correlated errors.
- Good data consistency  $X^2/dof = 1687/1620$

Two separate common  $Q^2 - x_{B,I}$  grids

- Inclusive grid for 820 and 920 GeV
- Fine-x<sub>Bi</sub> grid for 460 and 575 GeV
- Data translated to common points using **HERAFitter tool**

Total of 2927 data points combined to 1307

- $0.045 < Q^2 < 50000 \text{ GeV}^2$
- >  $6 \times 10^{-7} < x_{Bi} < 0.65$
- Six orders of magnitude in both  $Q^2$  and  $x_{Bi}$



### **Cross Sections Results**



#### **Cross Sections Results: Improved Precision**

Reduced NC e<sup>-</sup>p cross section



NC e<sup>+</sup>p cross section highest precision: total uncertainties < 1.5% for 3 < Q<sup>2</sup> < 500 GeV<sup>2</sup>, < 3% up to 3000 GeV<sup>2</sup>

- Largest improvement for NC e<sup>-p</sup> due to 10x luminosity
- Consistent with previous HERA I results, with improved uncertainties



#### **Cross Sections Results: Improved Precision**

Reduced CC e<sup>-</sup>p cross section



- Significantly reduced statistical error
- > Kinematic range extended
- Reduced systematic uncertainties due to cross calibration techniques



#### **Cross Sections: New Kinematic Range**

Reduced NC e<sup>+</sup>p cross section



Kinematic range extended by lowering proton beam energy



#### **QCD Analysis - Parton Distribution Functions**

- pQCD predictions fitted to all HERA data to determine HERAPDF2.0
- Predictions obtained by solving DGLAP evolution equations at LO, NLO and NNLO in MS scheme
- > Data include 4 different processes: NC and CC for e<sup>+</sup>p and e<sup>-</sup>p, at 4 p beam energies
  - Can extract  $xd_v$ ,  $xu_v$ ,  $x\overline{U}$  and  $x\overline{D}$  PDFs and xg from scaling violation
- Single consistent data set with small systematic uncertainties
- No heavy-target corrections needed
- Same framework as for HERAPDF1.0
  - Q<sup>2</sup> > 3.5 GeV<sup>2</sup> safe kinematic region. W (cm energy at γp vertex) > 15 GeV -> large x<sub>Bj</sub> higher twist correction neglected
  - 3.5 < Q<sup>2</sup> < 50000 GeV<sup>2</sup>, 0.651 10<sup>-4</sup> < x<sub>Bj</sub> < 0.65
  - Included all experimental, model and parametrization uncertainties



### **HERAPDF2.0 – Error Estimation**



#### Full systematic correlated error treatment

- > Experimental uncertainties:
  - Used Hessian method with full secondderivative matrix

#### > Model uncertainties

- Varying model assumptions, including Q<sup>2</sup><sub>min</sub>, c and b masses, strange sea fraction
- Parametrization uncertainties:
  - Varying parametrization assumptions, including additional parameters and starting scale in DGLAP equation



# HERAPDF2.0 at NLO and NNLO



> PDFs in variable-flavor-number-scheme (VNFS) at various orders

> Variant with alternative gluon parametrization



Uwe Schneekloth | HERA DIS, PHOTON 2015 | June 2015 | Page 12

# HERAPDF2.0 at NLO and NNLO



- > NLO and NNLO very similar
- > Uncertainties dominated by model uncertainties



# HERAPDF1.0 / 2.0 – HERA I / II

Valence  $(xu_v, xd_v)$ , sea  $(xS = 2x(\bar{U} + \bar{D}))$  and gluon (xg) distributions



- > Valence distributions more peaked at HERAPDF2.0
- > High x sea is softer, gluon harder at HERAPDF2.0
- Significantly reduced uncertainties at high x



# **HERAPDF2.0 Comparison with Data**

NC e<sup>+</sup>p cross section for  $2 < Q^2 < 30000$  GeV<sup>2</sup>



- Excellent agreement with data, except for turnover at low x<sub>Bi</sub> and low Q<sup>2</sup> due to F<sub>L</sub>
- > NLO and NNLO fits very similar



# HERAPDF2.0 Comparison with CC Data



- > Good agreement with data
- > NLO and NNLO fits very similar

$$\sigma_{CC}^{+} \sim x \left[ \overline{u} + \overline{c} \right] + x(1-y)^{2} \left[ d + s \right]$$





### **HERAPDF** Variants

#### > HERAPDF2.0AG "alternative gluon parametrization":

- HERAPDF2.0 fits HERA data better. However at NNLO, produces negative gluon distribution for x < 10<sup>-4</sup> (outside kinematic region of fit).
- AG: gluon distribution forced to be positive
- HERAPDF2.0HiQ2:
  - Q<sup>2</sup><sub>min</sub> > 10 GeV<sup>2</sup> instead of 3.5 GeV<sup>2</sup>
  - Fit lower than data at low x<sub>Bi</sub> and low Q<sup>2</sup>, DGLAP evolution not fully adequate
- > HERAPDF2.0FF3A/B
  - Fixed-flavor (FF) scheme instead of variable-flavor-number-scheme (VNFS)

#### > HERAPDF2.0Jets

- Adding inclusive + charm + jet data (7 data sets on incl. jet, dijet and trijet at low/high Q<sup>2</sup>)
- Excellent agreement with jet production data



# HERAPDF2.0Jets - α<sub>s</sub>

#### Added charm and jet data, NLO at $\mu_f$ = 10 GeV<sup>2</sup>



- > Fits very similar in both cases. Confirms choice of  $\alpha_s = 0.118$  in fixed fit
- Full treatment of uncertainties in both cases
- > Fit with free  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  results in

 $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1183 \pm 0.0009(\exp) \pm 0.0005(\text{mod./param.}) \pm 0.0012(\text{had.})^{+0.0037}_{-0.0030}(\text{scale})$ 



### **Electroweak Unification**



 $d^2\sigma/dQ^2 dx_{Bj}$  integrated over  $x_{Bj}$  using HERAPDF2.0 NLO

- Virtual photon exchange dominant for Q < 1000 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- NC and CC cross sections similar for Q<sup>2</sup> > 10000 GeV<sup>2</sup> demonstrating electroweak unification

Impressive precision



### **QCD and Electroweak Effects**

#### Reduced NC e<sup>+</sup>p and e<sup>-</sup>p cross sections



$$\sigma_{r,NC}^{\pm} = \tilde{F}_2 \mp \frac{Y_-}{Y_+} x \tilde{F}_3 - \frac{y^2}{Y_+} \tilde{F}_L$$

 $Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1 - y)^2$ 

At high  $Q^2 e^+p$  and  $e^-p$ cross sections differ due to  $\gamma$ -Z interference



### Structure Function xF<sup>γZ</sup><sub>3</sub>



$$x\tilde{F}_{3} = \frac{Y_{+}}{2Y_{-}}(\sigma_{r,NC}^{-} - \sigma_{r,NC}^{+})$$

at HERA  $x\tilde{F}_{3}^{\gamma Z} \approx \frac{x}{3}(2u_{v} + d_{v})$ 

- Sensitive at valence quark distributions
- Good agreement with prediction (translated to common scale of 1000 GeV<sup>2</sup>)

 $\begin{array}{ll} 0.016 < x_{Bj} < 0.725 & \text{HERAPDF2.0: } 1.165 \substack{+0.042 \\ -0.053} & \text{Data: } 1.314 \pm 0.057(\text{stat}) \pm 0.057(\text{syst}) \\ 0 < x_{Bj} < 1 & \text{HERAPDF2.0: } 1.588 \substack{+0.078 \\ -0.100} & \text{Data: } 1.790 \pm 0.078(\text{stat}) \pm 0.078(\text{syst}) \\ & \text{QPM prediction: } 5/3 \end{array}$ 



# **Scaling Violations**

**D**.

 $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{NC}} \mathbf{X}$ 

#### Reduced NC e<sup>-</sup>p and e<sup>+</sup>p cross sections

#### H1 and ZEUS • HERA NC e<sup>-</sup>p 0.4 fb<sup>-1</sup> 10<sup>7</sup> ■ HERA NC e<sup>+</sup>p 0.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> $\sqrt{s} = 318 \text{ GeV}$ = 0.00005, i=21= 0.00008, i=2010 □ Fixed Target 0.00013, i=19 HERAPDF2.0 e<sup>-</sup>p NNLO : 0.00032. i=17 HERAPDF2.0 e<sup>+</sup>p NNLO 10<sup>°</sup> .0008. i=15 = 0.0013, i=14 10<sup>4</sup> : 0.0020, i=13 = 0.0032, i=12= 0.005, i=1110 <sup>3</sup> $x_{Ri} = 0.008, i=10$ $x_{Ri} = 0.013, i=9$ $x_{Ri} = 0.02, i=8$ 10<sup>2</sup> $x_{p_i} = 0.032, i=7$ = 0.05, i=6000 = 0.08, i=5 10 = 0.13, i=4 $x_{Bi} = 0.18, i=3$ $x_{Bi} = 0.25, i=2$ 1 $x_{Bi} = 0.40, i=1$ 10 $x_{Bi} = 0.65, i=0$ 10 10

 $10^{2}$ 

10

1

10<sup>4</sup>

10<sup>5</sup>

 $Q^2/GeV^2$ 

 $10^{3}$ 

- Scaling violations clearly visible
  - Increasing gluon content of proton with decreasing x<sub>Bj</sub>
- > Well described by HERAPDF2.0 NLO and NNLO



### Low - x Rise of F<sub>2</sub> Structure Function



For phase space with small  $x\tilde{F}_3$  and  $\tilde{F}_L$ 

$$\tilde{F}_{2} = \sigma_{r,NC}^{\pm} \frac{\tilde{F}_{2}^{predicted}}{\sigma_{r,NC}^{\pm predicted}} = \sigma_{r,NC}^{\pm} (1 + C_{F})$$

- Prediction computed using HERAPDF2.0 NLO
- > Plot selected values with  $|C_F| < 0.1$
- Steep rise of  $\tilde{F}_2$ , becomes steeper as Q<sup>2</sup> increases
  - Increasing gluon density
- > Well described by HERAPDF2.0



# Conclusions

- H1 and ZEUS measured inclusive e<sup>±</sup>p cross sections from 1994 to 2007
- Final combination of all inclusive data, total integrated luminosity ~1 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- > High precision cross sections spanning six orders of magnitude in both  $Q^2$  and  $x_{B_i}$ 
  - Most precise ever published for ep scattering in such large kinematic region
- QCD analysis performed to obtain parton density functions HERAPDF2.0 at LO, NLO and NNLO
  - Including several variants (fixed flavor scheme, high Q<sup>2</sup>)
- > Precise measurement of  $\alpha_s(M_Z)$  done using QCD fit including jet- and charm cross sections measured by H1 and ZEUS
- Electroweak effects studied
- These precision DIS data are one of the legacies of HERA
- Only presented brief summary of very sophisticated analysis.
- Details presented at DIS, Dallas 2015 (4 talks), 150+ page paper to be submitted soon
  Uwe Schneekloth | HERA DIS, PHOTON 2015 | June 2015 | Page 24