Fit of EW Parameters using Polarised DIS H1 Data



Zhiqing ZHANG on behalf of the H1 Collaboration



Outline

Introduction
New constraints from HERA-2 Data with Pe
Results
Summary

Introduction

ep collider, HERA, used to be the largest electron microscope Both NC and CC inclusive cross sections were precisely measured



HERA-1 (1992-2000):

Combined HERA-1 data primary input for all modern PDF sets:

- CTEQ
- MRST
- NNPDFs
- HERAPDF1.0

-

HERA-2 (2003-2007):

- Increased lumi (x10 e-, x2 e+)
- > Long. polarized e beam
- Full combination HERA-1 & -2

Neutral and Charged Current DIS Interactions



NC interactions sensitive to light quark couplings to Z





Coupling Sensitivity with Unpolarised HERA-I Data

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\rm NC}^{\pm}}{dx dQ^2} \sim Y_+ \tilde{F}_2 \mp Y_- x \tilde{F}_3 \qquad \text{with} \quad Y_\pm = 1 \pm (1-y)^2$$

 $v_e \sim 0$, \rightarrow some of the terms are negligible

$$\begin{split} \tilde{F}_{2} &= F_{2} - v_{e}\kappa_{Z}F_{2}^{\gamma Z} + (v_{e}^{2} + a_{e}^{2})\kappa_{Z}^{2}F_{2}^{Z} \\ x\tilde{F}_{3} &= -a_{e}\kappa_{Z}xF_{3}^{\gamma Z} + 2v_{e}a_{e}\kappa_{Z}^{2}xF_{3}^{Z} \\ F_{2}^{Z} &= x\sum_{q} \left(v_{q}^{2} + a_{q}^{2}\right)\left\{q + \overline{q}\right\} \\ xF_{3}^{\gamma Z} &= 2x\sum_{q} e_{q}a_{q}\left\{q - \overline{q}\right\} \\ \Rightarrow a_{q} \text{ mainly constrained by } xF_{3}^{\gamma Z} \\ \Rightarrow v_{q} \text{ constrained by } F_{2}^{Z} \end{split} \qquad \begin{aligned} \kappa_{z}^{-1} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}{G_{F}M_{z}^{2}}\frac{Q^{2} + M_{z}^{2}}{Q^{2}} \\ \text{In on-mass-shell scheme:} \\ G_{F} &= \frac{\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{2}M_{W}^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{M_{z}^{2}}\right)^{-1}(1 + \Delta r) \\ \Delta r &= \Delta r(\alpha, M_{W}, M_{Z}, m_{t}, m_{h}, \cdots) \end{split}$$

First determination performed by H1 PLB 632 (2006) 35

Additional Sensitivity with Polarised HERA-II Data

Polarized e beam $(P_e) \rightarrow Additional terms$

Structure function formulae given for e⁻p scattering, for e⁺p, $P_e \rightarrow -P_e$

$$\tilde{F}_2 = F_2 - (v_e - P_e a_e) \kappa_Z F_2^{\gamma Z} + (v_e^2 + a_e^2 - 2P_e v_e a_e) \kappa_Z^2 F_2^Z$$

$$x\tilde{F}_3 = -(a_e - \underline{P_ev}_e)\kappa_Z xF_3^{\gamma Z} + \left[\underline{2v_ea_e} - \underline{P_e(v_e^2 + a_e^2)}\right]\kappa_Z^2 xF_3^Z$$

$$\left[F_2^{\gamma Z}, F_2^Z\right] = x \sum_q \left[2e_q v_q, v_q^2 + a_q^2\right] \left\{q + \overline{q}\right\}$$

$$\left\lfloor xF_3^{\gamma Z}, xF_3^Z\right\rfloor = 2x\sum_q \left[e_q a_q, v_q a_q\right] \left\{q - \overline{q}\right\}$$

\rightarrow additional constraint on v_a by $F_2^{\gamma Z}$

EPS 2017, Venice, July 5–12, 2017

Used Data Sets

	-	Data set	Q^2 -range	\sqrt{s}	No. of data points	Polarization
			$[GeV^2]$	[GeV]		[%]
		e^+ Combined low- Q^2	(0.5) 12 - 150	319	81 (262)	_
		e^+ Combined low- E_p	(1.5) 12 - 90	301	$118\ (136)$	—
ш		e^+ NC 94–97	150-30000	301	130	—
HERA-:		$e^+ \text{ CC } 94-97$	300 - 15000	301	25	_
		e^{-} NC 98–99	150 - 30000	319	126	_
		e^{-} CC 98–99	300 - 15000	319	28	_
_		e^- NC 98–99 high- y	100-800	319	13	_
		e^+ NC 99–00	150 - 30000	319	147	_
		$e^+ \text{ CC } 99-00$	300 - 15000	319	28	—
HERA-II	\int	e^+ NC L HERA-II	120 - 30000	319	137	-37.0 ± 1.0
		e^+ CC L HERA-II	300 - 15000	319	28	-37.0 ± 1.0
		e^+ NC R HERA-II	120 - 30000	319	137	$+32.5\pm0.7$
		e^+ CC R HERA-II	300 - 15000	319	28	$+32.5\pm0.7$
		e^- NC L HERA-II	120-50000	319	138	-25.8 ± 0.7
		e^- CC L HERA-II	300 - 30000	319	29	-25.8 ± 0.7
		e^- NC R HERA-II	120 - 30000	319	139	$+36.0\pm0.7$
		e^- CC R HERA-II	300 - 15000	319	28	$+36.0\pm0.7$

For the first 2 data sets, only data above 12GeV² are included

EPS 2017, Venice, July 5-12, 2017

Fit Strategy

 \Box 5 sets of PDFs parameterised at starting scale Q_0^2 =1.9 GeV²

$$\begin{aligned} xg(x) &= A_g x^{B_g} (1-x)^{C_g} - A'_g x^{B'_g} (1-x)^{C'_g} \\ xu_v(x) &= A_{u_v} x^{B_{u_v}} (1-x)^{C_{u_v}} (1+E_{u_v} x^2) , \\ xd_v(x) &= A_{d_v} x^{B_{d_v}} (1-x)^{C_{d_v}} , \\ x\bar{U}(x) &= A_{\bar{U}} x^{B_{\bar{U}}} (1-x)^{C_{\bar{U}}} , \\ x\bar{D}(x) &= A_{\bar{D}} x^{B_{\bar{D}}} (1-x)^{C_{\bar{D}}} \end{aligned}$$

Momentum sum rule and quark counting rules applied to constrain $A_g, A_{u_v}, A_{d_v}(C'_g \text{ fixed to } 25)$

Other constraints applied: $A_{\bar{U}} = A_{\bar{D}}, B_{\bar{U}} = B_{\bar{D}}$

DGLAP evolution & cross section calculations in NNLO QCD

EPS 2017, Venice, July 5-12, 2017

Zhiqing Zhang (LAL, Orsay)

,

Fit Strategy

□ Fits performed with log-normal based likelihood function

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{ij} \log \frac{d_i}{\tilde{\sigma}_i} V_{ij}^{-1} \log \frac{d_j}{\tilde{\sigma}_j}$$

Correlation in data (d) taken into account in covariance matrix (V)

□ Fit-1: Quark couplings + PDFs

- ► 4 or 2 couplings + 13 PDF parameters
- ► chi2 value: 1370.5/(1388-21)=1.0

□ Fit-2: M_W + PDFs (chi2 value: 1372.3/(1388-18)=1.0)

- > Translate to $sin^2 \Theta_W$ in on-mass-shell scheme by using known M_Z
- Divide data sets in several Q² ranges
- > $sin^2 \Theta_W$ extracted at different scale $\mu = \int Q^2$
- ► chi2 value: 1365.3/(1388-24)=1.0

Fit-1 Results



Significant improvement over HERA-I determination
2 coupling fit is more precise due to the reduced correlation

EPS 2017, Venice, July 5-12, 2017

Comparison with LEP and Tevatron Results



Precision (in particular for a_u, v_u) competitive with LEP & DO
LEP determinations have ambiguity in sign

EPS 2017, Venice, July 5–12, 2017

Comparison with ZEUS Results



This result comparable with a similar one from ZEUS (previous talk)
ZEUS fit included HERA-I and -II data sets from H1 with Pe=0

ZEUS: PRD 93 (2016) 092002

Fit-2: M_W + PDFs

SM overconstrained

- ► at HERA, W boson appears as a virtual boson exchange
- Probing space-like momentum transfers
- > M_W determined in on-mass-shell scheme by fixing a, M_Z, m_t, m_h
- Correlation with PDFs properly taken into account

 $M_W = 80.407 \pm 0.118(\exp, \text{PDF-fit}) \pm 0.005(M_Z, m_t, m_h) \text{ GeV}$

to be compared with HERA-I result:

 $M_W = 80.786 \pm 0.205(\exp)^{+0.063}_{-0.098}(\text{th}) \text{ GeV}$

 \Rightarrow A factor ~2 improvement!

$$\Rightarrow \mathsf{Extract} \quad \sin^2 \theta_W (\text{on-mass-shell}) = 1 - \frac{M_W^2(\text{fit})}{M_Z^2(\text{input})}$$

Fit-2: Weak Mixing Angle versus Q²



sin²θ_W showing no strong scale dependence as expected
Results consistent with precise Z-pole measurements

EPS 2017, Venice, July 5–12, 2017

Summary

(Light) quark couplings determined with much improved precision, thanks to

> the new sensitivity with polarised e^{+}/e^{-} beams at HERA-II

► more HERA-II high Q² cross section measurement

 \Box A M_W (and sin² Θ_W) determination

► A factor of 2 improvement in precision over HERA-I

The final results (including 1-loop EW corrections) will be published soon