## **Prompt photons at HERA**

Peter Bussey University of Glasgow

for the ZEUS and H1 Collaborations









High- $p_T$  photons produced in ep scattering are of several categories:

Radiated from the incoming or outgoing lepton

- Produced in a hard partonic interaction
- Radiated from a quark within a jet
- A decay product of a hadron within a jet

Photons in first two categories are relatively isolated from other outgoing particles. Second type often called "prompt" photons.

## New prompt photon results from HERA since PHOTON 2015:

ZEUS: DIS, combined photon/jet/electron variables. ZEUS: diffractive photoproduction.

### **Motivations.**

- Prompt photons emerge directly from the hard scattering process and give a particular view of this.
- Combined photon/jet/electron variables give more detailed ways to test the theories than with single particles and jets.
- In diffraction, allows tests of Pomeron models and explores the non-gluonic aspects of the Pomeron and Pomeron-photon physics in general.

ZEUS publications of prompt photons in photoproduction: Phys. Lett. 730 (2014) 293 JHEP 08 (2014) 03

H1 on prompt photons in photoproduction: Phys. Lett. 672 (2009) 219

H1 on prompt photons in DIS Eur. Phys. J. C 54 (2008) 371

## The ZEUS detector

#### HERA-I data: 1998-2000 HERA-II data: 2004-2007



## ZEUS prompt photon analyses.

#### Hard photon candidate:

- found with energy-clustering algorithm in BCAL:  $E_{EMC}/(E_{EMC} + E_{HAD}) > 0.9$
- lower limit imposed on  $E_{T}^{\gamma}$
- $-0.7 < \eta^{\gamma} < 0.9$  (i.e. in ZEUS barrel calorimeter)
- Isolated. In the "jet" containing the photon candidate, the photon must contain at least 0.9 of the "jet"  $E_{T}$

## Jets

- k<sub>T</sub>-cluster algorithm
- $\bullet$  -1.5 <  $\eta^{jet}$  < 1.8
- $\bullet$  lower limit imposed on  $~\mathsf{E_T}^{~\mathsf{jet}}$

Why we isolate the measured photon:



Photons associated with jets require a quark fragmentation function which is not easy to determine – requires non-perturbative input.

Reduce large background from neutral mesons.

#### The DIS Analysis

Main further selections:

 $4 < E_T^{\gamma} < 15 \text{ GeV}$  $E_T^{jet}$ > 2.5 GeV  $10 < Q^2 < 350 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

Plotted parameters:

• 
$$x_{\gamma} = \frac{\sum_{jet,\gamma} (E - p_z)}{2y_{JB}E_e}$$
  
•  $x_p = \frac{\sum_{jet,\gamma} (E + p_z)}{2E_p}$ 

- $\Delta \eta = \eta_{jet} \eta_{\gamma}$
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \Delta \varphi = \varphi_{jet} \varphi_{\gamma} \\ \bullet \Delta \varphi_{e,\gamma} = \varphi_e \varphi_{\gamma} \end{array}$

• 
$$\Delta \eta_{e,\gamma} = \eta_e - \eta_\gamma$$

Fit number of photons in each bin.





# Some comparisons with earlier results. Always a need to scale up the LO theory



AFG: Aurenche, Fontannaz and Guillet : EPJ C44 (2005) 395NLOBLZ: Baranov, Lipatov and Zotov: PRD81 (2010) 094034kT-factorisation



AFG is better, especially for  $x_v$ , though not perfect here.

Examples of lowest-order resolved–Pomeron diagrams by which diffractive processes

may generate a prompt photon

Direct incoming photon gives all its energy to the  $\hat{x}_{\gamma} = 1$ .

**Resolved** incoming photon gives fraction  $x_{\gamma}$  of its energy.

An outgoing photon must couple to a charged particle line and so the exchanged colourless object ("Pomeron") must have a quark content.



#### More kinematics:

XIP = fraction of proton energy taken by Pomeron, measured as

 $\Sigma_{\text{all EFOs}} (E + p_z) / 2 E_p$ 

Z<sub>IP</sub> = fraction of Pomeron E+p<sub>z</sub>
taken by photon + jet
measured as

 $\sum_{\gamma + jet} (E + p_z) / \sum_{all EFOs} (E + p_z)$ 

η<sub>max</sub> = maximum pseudorapidity of observed outgoing particles (E > 0.4 GeV) (ignore forward proton).

Diffractive processes are characterised by a low value of  $\eta_{max}$  and/or low  $x_{\rm IP}$ .



Possible direct Pomeron interactions require a different type of diagram.

e.g.



Direct photon + direct Pomeron

Resolved photons also a possibility.

N.B. The proton may become dissociated in diffractive processes

## The diffractive analysis.

- 1) The forward scattered proton is not measured in these analyses.
- Non-diffractive events are characterised by a forward proton shower. To remove them, require η<sub>max</sub> < 2.5 and x<sub>IP</sub> < 0.03</li>
   η<sub>max</sub> is evaluated from ZEUS energy flow objects (EFOs), which combine tracking and calorimeter cluster information.
- 3) A cut  $0.2 < y_{JB} < 0.7$  removes most DIS events.
- 4) Remove remaining DIS events and Bethe-Heitler and DVCS events ( $\gamma$  e) by excluding events with identified electron or  $\leq 5$  EFOs
- 5) Remaining non-diffractive events neglected, could be 0-10% of our cross sections. Treated as a systematic.
- 6) **HERA I** data: use the FPC to remove more non-diffractive background. It also suppressed many proton dissociation events.

Use HERA-I data to measure total cross section.82 pb<sup>-1</sup>Use HERA-II data to study shapes of distributions.374 pb<sup>-1</sup>

Photon candidates: groups of signals in cells in the BEMC. Each has a Z-position,  $Z_{CELL}$ . E-weighted mean of  $Z_{CELL}$  is  $Z_{Mean}$ .

Task: to separate photons from background

of candidates from photon decays of neutral mesons.



 $\langle dZ \rangle = E$ -weighted mean of  $|Z_{CELL} - Z_{Mean}|$ .

Peaks correspond to photon and  $\pi^0$  signals, other background is  $\eta$  + multi- $\pi^0$ .

In each bin of each measured physical quantity, fit for **photon signal +** hadronic bgd.

## **Monte Carlo simulation**

Uses the **RAPGAP** generator (H. Jung Comp Phys Commun 86 (1995) 147)

Based on leading order parton-level QCD matrix elements.

Some higher orders are modelled by initial and final state leading-logarithm parton showers.

Fragmentation uses the Lund string model as implemented in PYTHIA.

The H1 2006 DPDF fit B set is used to describe the density of partons in the diffractively scattered proton. For resolved photons, the SASGAM-2D pdf is used. Fit the  $x_{\gamma}$  distribution to direct and resolved RAPGAP components. A 70:30 mixture is found and used throughout.



$$x_{\gamma}^{\text{meas}} = \Sigma_{\gamma + \text{jet}}^{}(E - P_z) / \Sigma_{\text{all EFOs}}^{}(E - P_z)$$

## **Results**

Cross sections compared to RAPGAP normalised to total observed cross section. Inner error bar is statistical. Outer (total) is correlated across all points and includes normalisation and non-diffractive subtraction uncertainty.



Shape of data well described by Rapgap. Most photons are accompanied by a jet. 18



Using HERA-I data, integrated cross section for  $z_{IP}^{meas} < 0.9 = 0.68 \pm 0.14 +0.06_{-0.07}$  pb Rapgap gives 0.68 pb. No allowance for proton dissociation which is ~ 16 ± 4%.

#### **Cross sections for region** $z_{IP}^{meas} < 0.9$ Rapgap is normalised to data in this region.



#### **Cross sections for region** $z_{IP}^{meas} \ge 0.9$ Rapgap is normalised to data in this region.



## **Summary**

ZEUS have measured isolated ("prompt") photons in

- DIS, measuring new combinations of variables
- diffractive photoproduction, for the first time with an accompanying jet.

DIS: results are in better agreement with AFG model than with BLZ but agree well, after rescaling, with Pythia + Heracles/Ariadne

- diffractive results defined by cuts on  $\eta_{max}$  and  $x_{IP}$ Most of the detected photons are accompanied by a jet.

The variable **z**<sub>IP</sub><sup>meas</sup> shows a peak at high values that gives evidence for a direct Pomeron process not modelled by RAPGAP

In both regions of  $z_{IP}^{meas}$ , RAPGAP well describes shapes of cross sections confirming a common set of PDFs in diffractive DIS (where they were determined) and photoproduction at  $z_{IP}^{meas} < 0.9$ .

## **Backups**

Plot  $z_{IP}^{meas}$  and compare with Rapgap

Shape does not agree.

An excess is seen in the top bin. Can reweight Rapgap to describe the shape.

Unreweighted Rapgap here normalised to  $z_{IP}^{meas}$  < 0.9 data. Otherwise, unless stated, Rapgap is normalised to the full plotted range of data.

The  $\eta_{max}$  distribution is described better by the reweighted Rapgap.

Red histogram shows what 10% of non-diffractive Pythia photoproduction (subject to present cuts) would look like. (Not added into the Rapgap.)



etamax distribution for HERA-2.





Compare diffractive photon distribution with those from nondiffractive process.

Diffractive more resembles direct but seems slightly more forward.



26

#### Compare diffractive distribution with that for nondiffractive photoproduction:



The diffractive process (left) is more strongly direct-dominated than the photoproduction (right). Rapgap gives a good description.